UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,081	11/13/2003	David H. Coy	00537-164003	7933
37903 DAWN JANEL	7590 01/08/200 LE AT	EXAMINER		
BIOMEASURE	· -	AUDET, MAURY A		
27 MAPLE STI MILFORD, MA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/712	,081	COY ET AL.		
		Examir	er	Art Unit		
		MAURY	' AUDET	1654		
۔۔ Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress	
A SHOF WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO pe - Failure tr Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ns of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common the for reply is specified above, the maximum stour or extended period for reply or reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months at a tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be strongly suffered will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the polication to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ Tl 3)⊡ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filentials action is FINAL . Ince this application is in condition accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matters, p		e merits is	
Disposition	of Claims					
4a 5)	aim(s) 18-44 is/are pending in the) Of the above claim(s) 32-43 is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) is/are rejected. aim(s) 18-31 and 44 is/are objected aim(s) are subject to restrict Papers e specification is objected to by the e drawing(s) filed on is/are	re withdrawn from o ed to. ction and/or election e Examiner.	n requirement.	e Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment and response are acknowledged.

The present application has been transferred from former Examiner Zhang to the present Examiner, where it had previously been transferred from former Examiner Muirhei. Both previous Examiners, as well as this Examiner have sent respective restriction requirements seeking an election of a single invention that may be searched without an undue burden, as the extremely broad peptide formula, bearing a known core. Examiner Muirhei had previously allowed the application, then withdrew the same based on the necessity for both 35 USC 102 and 103 rejections. Claims 32-43 are withdrawn as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Election/Restrictions

NOTE: Below under Objection, the amendment of the election by the Examiner to rejoin certain other peptides bearing the same substantial core structure as that originally elected.

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 18-31 and 44, as well the elected compound invention, H2-B-Nal-D-Cys-Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val-Cys-(2R,3R-(2-hydroxymethyl)-3-hydroxy)propylamide, the latter with traverse of in the reply filed on 10/31/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not pose an undue burden to search a known core, constituting less than a substantial core (e.g. under 4 contiguous amino acids). Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not found persuasive. The Examiner provided numerous options, as well as being open to other alternatives, both in writing and during an interview on 10/29/07, for Applicant to identify and elect a substantial core structure of this very well known compound for which a coextensive search thereof of many species emanating

Application/Control Number: 10/712,081 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

therefrom, could be conducted, without an undue search burden. This was never identified, or so claimed, by Applicant, and he instead elected the single compound noted above for prosecution on the merits. Due to the small somatostatin core being well known, and modified extensively within the art, a structure search of each species ends up turning on an individual, case-by-case compound search and analysis, due to the glut of art on these compounds. Hence, the necessity for a substantial, core structure (modified therein from the known native core) that emanates across multiple species, such that said species may be coextensively searched based on that core, without a undue search burden. A review of the myriad structures of such species is evident in e.g. claim 22, many bearing few overlapping residues, of which where evident generally fall within the known native residue of somatostatin. Absent the identification of such a core there between, each modified somatostatin antagonist is deemed a separate and distinct invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

Claims 18-31 and 44 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims have not been amended commensurate in scope with the NEWLY elected invention (bearing core of originally elected H2-B-Nal-D-Cys-Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val-Cys-(2R,3R-(2-hydroxymethyl)-3-hydroxy)propylamide); namely a peptide selected from the group consisting of (see Interview Summary mailed 6/26/08):

the following 7 peptides 6 of which bear an overlapping core), to which the

Examiner agreed to rejoin:

H2-Phe-D-Cpa-Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-VaI-Phe-Thr-NH2

H2-beta-NaI-D-Cpa-Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-VaI-Phe-Thre-NH2

Application/Control Number: 10/712,081 Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

H2-beta-NaI-D-Cpa-Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-VaI-Phe-beta-NaI-N H2

H2-beta-NaI-D-Cpa-Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-VaI-Phe-Thr-NH2

H2-D-beta-NaI-D-Cpa-Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-VaI-Phe-Thr-NH2

H2-D-beta-NaI-D-Cpa-Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-VaI-Phe-beta-NaI-N H2

&

H2-D-Phe-D-Phe-Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Thr-Phe-Thr-NH2.

As the NEWLY elected invention was not found to be reasonably taught or suggested by the prior art of record, in the updated compound search conducted on 6/13/08, were the claims amended thereto, they would likely receive favorable consideration.

Applicant's own earlier works, now US 6,703,481 B1 and US 6,262,229 B1 are deemed the closest prior art of record, but neither is found to claim the elected compound above.

Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAURY AUDET whose telephone number is (571)272-0960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA, 1/2/09

/Cecilia Tsang/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1654

Application/Control Number: 10/712,081

Page 6

Art Unit: 1654